Name or ID:

Lesson/Unit Title:

Intended grade:

SE	P 7	engineering, reasoning and argument bas design problem. Scientists and engineers	ed on evidence are e use argumentation	1 5	
Components of SEP In this lesson/unit plan, it is clear that <u>students</u> have a structured opportunity to:			Mark with "x" if present in lesson	What teacher actions were taken to facilitate this component for students?	What are the students doing?
1)		pare, and critique two arguments d on the supporting evidence			
2)	-	ge in discourse around a scientific ment with peers			
3)		s truct and/or refine an argument g evidence and reasoning to support a n			
4)	eval effec	ineering] Make, defend, and/or uate a claim about the ctiveness/ merit of an object or gn solution using evidence			
No	otes or	a Context/Special Considerations (par	t of school year, differ	entiation, student developmental considerations, etc	.):

©2015 California State University East Bay All Rights Reserved. Any unauthorized reprint or use of this document is prohibited under federal law. *Project Next Gen ASET* was supported by a grant to the California State University East Bay by the National Science Foundation Discovery Research K12, Award No. DRL-1418440. PI: michele.korb@csueastbay.edu or contact corinne.lardy@csus.edu, michelle.sinapuelas@csueastbay.edu





ASET Grade Band Criteria (Grade Bands: K-2, 3-5)

Science & Engineering Practices					
and representations about the natural and de solutions proposed by peers by citing relevant	esigned world(s). In 3-5 they build on K-2 experiences a nt evidence about the natural and designed world(s).	lds on prior experiences and progresses to comparing ideas nd progresses to critiquing the scientific explanations or nderstanding of each of these. Individual lessons or units			
should include opportunities for students to practice one or more of the following components					
	K-2 Grade Band	3–5 Grade Band			
1) Compare, and critique two arguments based on the supporting evidence	 Within a given argument, students: a. identify claims that are supported by relevant evidence b. distinguish between opinions (not supported by objective information) and evidence (supported by objective information) in one's own explanations. c. describe how the evidence do or do not support the claim and if additional evidence is needed d. distinguish between explanations that account for all gathered evidence and those that do not. 	 Using two arguments on the same topic developed by students or presented by the instructor: a. identify claims made in each argument b. distinguish between speculation or opinions (not supported by objective information) and evidence/facts (reasoned judgment based on research findings) used to support each claim c. evaluate the evidence to determine its relevance and whether it supports the claim d. describe whether the given evidence is sufficient to support the claim and whether additional evidence is needed 			
2) Engage in discourse around a scientific argument with peers	 Students will listen actively to arguments to: a. indicate agreement or disagreement based on evidence b. retell the main points of the argument 	 Respectfully provide and receive critiques to/from peers about one's explanations, procedures, and models by: a. citing relevant evidence b. posing specific questions that elicit pertinent elaboration and detail. 			
3) Construct and/or refine an argument using evidence and reasoning to support a claim	b. description of relevant evidence (e.g., observations, experiences) to support the claim	 Students construct and/or support an argument which includes: a. a claim to be supported about a phenomenon b. relevant evidence (e.g., observations, data, and/or a model) to support the claim c. reasoning (Explain how the evidence supports/is relevant to their claim.) 			
 4) [Engineering] Make, defend, and/or evaluate a claim about the effectiveness/ merit of an object or design solution using evidence 	Students make a claim about the effectiveness of an object, tool, or solution that is supported by relevant evidence	Students make a claim about the merit of a solution to a problem using relevant evidence about how the solution meets the criteria and constraints of the problem			

©2015 California State University East Bay All Rights Reserved. Any unauthorized reprint or use of this document is prohibited under federal law. *Project Next Gen ASET* was supported by a grant to the California State University East Bay by the National Science Foundation Discovery Research K12, Award No. DRL-1418440. PI: michele.korb@csueastbay.edu or contact corinne.lardy@csus.edu, michelle.sinapuelas@csueastbay.edu