



## Name or ID: Science Lesson/Unit Title:

Intend	ed grade:								
	<b>Constructing Explanations and Des</b>		The end-products of science are	e <b>explanations</b> of natural phen	omena and the end-products of				
<b>SEP 6</b> engineering are solutions to design problems.									
	a. Constructing Explanations: The goal of science is the construction of theories that provide explanatory accounts of the world. A theory becomes								
	accepted when it has multiple lines of empirical evidence and greater explanatory power than previous theories. <b>b. Designing Solutions:</b> The goal of engineering design is to find a solution to problems that is based on scientific knowledge and models of the material								
	world. During the design process models or prototypes are systematically tested, and iteratively revised based on performance. Each proposed solution								
	results from a process of balancing competing criteria of desired functions, technical feasibility, cost, safety, aesthetics, and compliance with lega requirements. The optimal choice depends on how well the proposed solutions meet criteria and constraints.								
000 (l		ls on how well the pr	oposed solutions meet criteria	and constraints.					
	Designing Solutions								
	ients of SEP	Mark with "x"	What teacher actions	What are the students	<u>How</u> is this component				
	esson/unit plan, it is clear that	if present in	were taken to facilitate	doing?	reflected in your				
<u>students</u>	<u>s</u> have a structured opportunity to:	lesson	this component for students?		research/laboratory experience?				
1) <b>Des</b>	cribe criteria and constraints of a								
	gn problem, including quantification								
when appropriate									
	appropriate								
2) App	ly scientific knowledge to generate								
	sign plan that includes consideration								
for t	he criteria and constraints								
3) Build	d, t <b>est,</b> and evaluate the design of an								
,	ct, tool, process, or system								
,									
-	ne and/or optimize the design								
	tion based on performance during								
	ing and consideration of the criteria								
and	constraints								

©2015 California State University East Bay All Rights Reserved. Any unauthorized reprint or use of this document is prohibited under federal law. Project Next Gen ASET is supported by a grant to the California State University East Bay by the National Science Foundation Discovery Research K12, Award No. DRL-1418440. PI: michele.korb@csueastbay.edu or contact corinne.lardy@csus.edu, michelle.sinapuelas@csueastbay.edu



## ASET Grade Band Criteria (Grade Bands: 6-8, 9-12)

Science & Engineering Practices								
<b>SEP 6b: Designing Solutions:</b> Designing solutions in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to include designing solutions supported by multiple sources of evidence consistent with scientific ideas, principles, and theories. In 9-12 they build on K-8 experiences and progress to designs that are supported by multiple and independent student-generated sources of evidence consistent with scientific ideas, principles, and theore consistent with scientific ideas.								
By the end of the grade band <u>students</u> will have had a structured opportunity to develop an understanding of each of these. Individual lessons or units should include opportunities for <u>students</u> to practice one or more of the following components								
	6-8 Grade Band	9-12 Grade Band						
1) <b>Describe criteria</b> and <b>constraints</b> of a design problem, including quantification when appropriate	<ul> <li>Students identify and describe:</li> <li>a. Criteria and constraints for specific sub-problems within a larger complex problem</li> <li>b. How all criteria and constraints will be taken into account when designing the solution</li> <li>c. The rationale for which criteria should be given highest priority if tradeoffs must be made</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students identify and describe:</li> <li>a. Criteria and constraints for specific sub-problems within a larger complex problem</li> <li>b. How all criteria and constraints will be taken into account when designing the solution</li> <li>c. The rationale for which criteria should be given highest priority if tradeoffs must be made</li> </ul>						
2) Apply scientific knowledge to generate a design plan that includes consideration for the criteria and constraints	<ul> <li>Students apply scientific ideas or principles to design and/or construct a solution (object tool, process, or system) that solves a problem. As part of this solution, students: <ul> <li>a. Break down a complex problem into a set of two or more sub-problems</li> <li>b. Propose two or more solutions for at least one of the sub-problems</li> <li>c. Describe/label the components of the solution</li> <li>d. Describe the scientific rationale for each solution (how it solves the problem), including choice of materials and structure of the device where appropriate</li> <li>e. Describe how solutions to sub-problems are interconnected to solve all or part of the larger problem</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students apply scientific ideas or principles to design and/or construct a solution (object tool, process, or system) that solves a <u>complex real-world</u> problem. As part of this solution, students: <ul> <li>a. Break down a complex problem into a set of two or more sub-problems</li> <li>b. Propose two or more solutions for <u>each</u> of the sub-problems</li> <li>c. Describe/label the components of the solution<u>s</u></li> <li>d. Describe the scientific rationale for each solution (how it solves the problem), including choice of materials and structure of the device where appropriate</li> <li>e. Describe how solutions to sub-problems are interconnected to solve all or part of the larger problem</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						



3)	Build, t <b>est,</b> and evaluate the design of an object, tool, process, or system	Students test a solution to determine how well it solves the defined problem.	Students test a solution to determine how well it solves the defined problem.
		<ul> <li>Students systematically evaluate the solution(s) to a complex real-world problem, including: <ul> <li>a. Analysis (quantitative where appropriate) of the strengths and weaknesses of the solution with respect to each criterion and constraint</li> <li>b. An evidence-based decision of which solution is optimum, based on prioritized criteria, analyses of the strengths and weaknesses (costs and benefits) of each solution, and barriers to be overcome</li> <li>c. Critiquing competing design solutions based on set criteria and constraints</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consideration of other factors to implementing each solution, such as cultural, economic, or other sources of resistance to potential solutions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students systematically evaluate the solution(s) to a complex real-world problem, including: <ul> <li>a. Analysis (quantitative where appropriate) of the strengths and weaknesses of the solution with respect to each criterion and constraint</li> <li>b. An evidence-based decision of which solution is optimum, based on prioritized criteria, analyses of the strengths and weaknesses (costs and benefits) of each solution, and barriers to be overcome</li> <li>c. Critiquing competing design solutions based on set criteria and constraints</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consideration of other factors to implementing each solution, such as cultural, economic, or other sources of resistance to potential solutions</li> </ul>
4)	<b>Refine and/or optimize</b> the design solution based on performance during testing and consideration of the criteria and constraints	<ul> <li>a. Refine a solution based on the results from testing and evaluation</li> <li>b. Improve the design relative to criteria and constraints</li> <li>c. Optimize performance of a design by prioritizing criteria, making tradeoffs, testing, revising, and retesting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a. Refine a solution based on the results from testing and evaluation</li> <li>b. Improve the design relative to criteria and constraints</li> <li>c. Optimize performance of a design by prioritizing criteria, making tradeoffs, testing, revising, and retesting</li> </ul>